

EDA GRANT AWARD NO. 07 79 07557=|=UOG RFP NO. P21-01: ARCHITECTURAL & ENGINEERING SERVICES=|=

Compliance with EDA Disaster Assistance Program Requirements

Fraud Awareness Training



You <u>must</u> successfully complete this training and <u>attach the signed completion</u> <u>certificate</u> along with your signed CD-450.

Failure to comply with this requirement may result in a finding of noncompliance with the terms and conditions of your award

OVERVIEW



- Overview of EDA Disaster Recovery Programs
- The Department of Commerce (DOC) Office of Inspector General (OIG)
- Fraud in EDA Programs
- Additional Items
- Certificate



Role of EDA: Facilitate the timely and effective delivery of Federal economic development assistance to support long-term community economic recovery planning and project implementation, redevelopment, and resiliency



- Under the Additional Supplemental Appropriations for Disaster Relief Act, 2019 (Public Law 116-20), Congress provided EDA with the following disaster-specific program appropriation to supplement its core FY19 Economic Development Assistance Programs (EDAP) appropriation: Pursuant to section 703 of the Public Works and Economic Development Act (42 U.S.C. 3233), for an additional amount for "Economic Development Assistance Programs" for necessary expenses related to flood mitigation, disaster relief, long-term recovery, and restoration of infrastructure in areas that received a major disaster designation as a result of Hurricanes Florence, Michael, and Lane, Typhoons Yutu and Mangkhut, and of wildfires, volcanic eruptions, earthquakes and other natural disasters occurring in calendar year 2018, and tornadoes and floods occurring in calendar year 2019 under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.).
- Projects, among other things, must have a nexus to applicable disaster recovery and resilience efforts and be consistent with at least one of the EDA Investment Priorities.



EDA INVESTMENT PRIORITIES

 All projects considered for EDA funding under this Disaster Supplemental NOFO must be consistent with at least one of EDA's Investment Priorities. EDA's Investment Priorities can be found at https://www.eda.gov/about/investment-priorities/disaster-recovery/. The priorities may be updated from time to time. Any future revisions will be reflected on EDA's website on January 15, April 15, June 15, or September 15 of each year.

https://www.eda.gov/about/investment-priorities/



- EDA disaster assistance grants are being awarded through its Regional Offices under the agency's Economic Adjustment Assistance (EAA) Program. The EAA Program enables EDA to make awards that support a wide range of construction and non-construction activities.
- Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) 11.307
 - Located at <u>https://beta.sam.gov/</u>
 - Contains overview of the EAA Program
 - Contains eligibility, application, and compliance requirements, etc.

ELIGIBILITY



Eligible applicants under the EAA Program include:

- District Organization
- Indian Tribe or a consortium of Indian Tribes
- State,* county, city, or other political subdivision of a State, including a special purpose unit of a State or local government engaged in economic or infrastructure development activities, or a consortium of political subdivisions
- Institution of higher education or a consortium of institutions of higher education
- Public or private non-profit organization or association acting in cooperation with officials of a political subdivision of a State

EDA is not authorized to provide EAA grants to individuals or for-profit entities. Requests from such entities will not be considered for funding.

ELIGIBILITY



Projects eligible for EDA support include both the development of disaster recovery strategies and the implementation of recovery projects identified within those strategies, including construction activities, capitalizing revolving loan funds, and a variety of others.

Applicants must propose a project located in or serving one or more communities or regions/areas that received a major disaster designation as a result of Hurricanes Florence, Michael, and Lane, Typhoons Yutu and Mangkhut, and of wildfires, volcanic eruptions, earthquakes and other natural disasters occurring in calendar year 2018, and tornadoes and floods occurring in calendar year 2019 under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.).



The U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC) Office of Inspector General (OIG)

DOC – OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL



- Created by IG Act of 1978 to:
 - Combat fraud, waste, and abuse within the DOC
 - Conduct audits and investigations of DOC operations
 - Keep the DOC and Congress informed
- Mission
 - To improve the programs and operations of the Department of Commerce through independent and objective oversight
- This includes oversight of EDA Assistance Programs

DOC – OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

- Office of Audit and Evaluation
- Office of Investigations
 - Criminal
 - Civil
 - Administrative
 - Employee misconduct
 - Public corruption

WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTIONS



Federal employees, applicants, and employees of federal contractors **and grantees** who make certain disclosures—to OIG or elsewhere—are protected under the law from suffering retaliation because of those disclosures.

Basic elements of whistleblower protection law: (1) you make a protected disclosure; (2) you are subject to certain retaliatory acts; and (3) there is a causal connection between your protected disclosure and the retaliatory act.

OIG receives, reviews, and where appropriate, investigates allegations of whistleblower reprisal.

Whistleblower complaints may be submitted to the OIG via the hotline: <u>https://www.oig.doc.gov/Pages/Online-Hotline-Complaint-Form.aspx</u>

Whistleblower complaints may also be submitted to the Office of Special Counsel via their website: <u>https://osc.gov/pages/file-complaint.aspx</u>

DOC-OIG Whistleblower Protection Coordinator contact information:Email: wpo@oig.doc.govPhone: 202-482-1099

FRAUD IN EDA ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS



- Fraud Defined
- Compliance Requirements
 - Eligibility
 - Duplicate Funding
 - Matching Funds
 - Mandatory Reporting of Fraud
- Consequences of Fraud
- Recommendations/Best Practices



Although it can take many forms, fraud, at its core, is **deception** through the **misrepresentation** or **omission** of **material facts** for the purpose of **illegitimate gain**.

FRAUD IN EDA ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS



Examples of fraud within EDA Assistance Programs include, but are not limited to:

- Accepting federal funding in multiple assistance awards for the same work
- Misrepresentations regarding the eligibility of the project or recipient
- Misrepresentations or omissions about the recipient's facilities, ownership/control, or the work to be performed by the recipient
- Providing falsified letters of support
- Misrepresentations concerning the use of funds expended, work performed, results achieved, or compliance with program requirements under the award
- Inflated labor costs

FRAUD IN EDA ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS (CONTINUED)



- Misuse or conversion of assistance award funds (e.g. using funds for personal expenses)
- Theft of government owned property (18 U.S.C. § 666)
- Embezzlement (18 U.S.C. § 666)
- Fabrication or falsification of data, in applying for, carrying out, or reporting results from an assistance award
- Failure to comply with applicable federal cost principles governing grants / cooperative agreements
- Undisclosed self-dealing, such as a sub-award to an entity in which an awardee, executive, or key employee (or family members of the aforementioned individuals) has a financial interest
- "Buying Expenses", or reporting expenses incurred by another entity for work unrelated to the assistance award

COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS



RECIPIENTS MUST COMPLY WITH:

- 2 CFR Part 200 Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards
- Department of Commerce Financial Assistance Standard Terms and Conditions
- EDA Standard Award Conditions for Construction Projects or EDA Revolving Loan Fund Standard Award Conditions (as applicable)
- Special Award Conditions (unique to each award)



2 CFR § 200.338 – REMEDIES FOR NONCOMPLIANCE

If a non-Federal entity fails to comply with **Federal statutes, regulations or the terms and conditions of a Federal award**, the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity may impose additional conditions; or, in certain circumstances, the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity may take one or more of the following actions, as appropriate in the circumstances:

- Temporary withholding of cash payments pending correction of the deficiency by the non-Federal entity or more severe enforcement action by the Federal awarding agency or passthrough entity
- Disallowance of (that is, deny both use of funds and any applicable matching credit for) all or part of the cost of the activity or action not in compliance
- Whole or partial suspension or termination of the Federal award
- Initiation of suspension or debarment proceedings (or in the case of a pass-through entity, recommend such a proceeding be initiated by a Federal awarding agency)
- Withholding of further Federal awards for the project or program
- Other remedies that may be legally available

COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS



Eligibility

Within their EDA Assistance Award Proposal, applicants make numerous certifications as to their eligibility to receive a federal award, among other certifications.

Falsely certifying to any material fact or representation contained in a certification is fraud.

CASE EXAMPLE



<u>Eligibility</u>

Allegations Included:

- Nonprofit organization made false and misleading statements to obtain federal grant funding
- Failure to disclose conflicts of interest that were required to be disclosed

Outcome:

 Nonprofit agreed to pay \$528,575.82 to resolve allegations they violated the False Claims Act

Double-click below for more information

HOME ABOUT NEWS MEET THE U.S. ATTORNEY DIVISIONS PROGRAMS JOBS

U.S. Attorneys » Eastern District of Kentucky » News Department of Justice U.S. Attorney's Office

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Eastern District of Kentucky

Wednesday, September 19, 2018

Nonprofit Organization and Its Management Company Agree to Pay Over \$500,000 to Resolve Allegations of Grant Fraud

LDBRGTON, Ky. — The National Association of State Chief Information Obliens, Inc. (INASCI) and American Management Resources, Inc. (AMR) have agreed to pay the United States \$528,575.12 to resolve allegations that the wolkshold for this Claims Act for which fails and end related statements for sourcedon with oblaming grants from the Department of Justice's National Justice Information Sharing Instate.

This civil settlement resolves allegations that NASCIO failed to disclose conflicts of interest related to its contract with AMR that were required to be disclosed under the grant program's rules. The United States contends that the failure to disclose these conflicts of interest resulted in the DOJ approving grant funding that NASCIO would not have otherwise been awarded.

This settlement sends a clear message that recipients of hidewaly funded grants must telding address to the regulation acguitable to how grants and fully and fairly disclose the information called for under these grants', stated Robert M. Duncan, A., United States Altorney for the Exatem Datied of Kentucky. "Doit NUSCICI and MR have responsibly and exapticulausy addressend the concentra called by the United States, and we expect other grant receipents who do not follow the applicable regulations will do the same."

"This investigation demonstrates the DOJ-OIG's commitment to ensure that tax payer dollars are used appropriately, and that only authorized grant neighents who follow regulators and provide full disclosure to the government neerine DOJ grant funds," stated William J. Hanneh, Specifical Agent in Charge of the U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General's (DOJ-OIG) Chicago Field Office.

This case was a result of an investigation by the DOJ-DIG Chicago Field Office and the United States Attorney to Office for the Eastern District of Kentoky. Assistant United States Attorney Jerniter A. Williams handled the matther for the United States. The claims settled by this agreement are allegations only, and there has been no determination of liability.

COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS



Duplication of Benefits is Prohibited

IMPORTANT— The Stafford Act (42 U.S.C. \S 5155) prohibits duplication of benefits:

"The President, in consultation with the head of each Federal agency administering any program providing financial assistance to persons, business concerns, or other entities suffering losses as a result of a major disaster or emergency, shall assure that no such person, business concern, or other entity will receive such assistance with respect to any part of such loss as to which he has received financial assistance under any other program or from insurance or any other source."

COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS



Duplication of Benefits is Prohibited

2 CFR § 200.53 – Improper Payment

Improper payment includes any payment to an ineligible party, any payment for an ineligible good or service, **any duplicate payment**, any payment for a good or service not received (except for such payments where authorized by law), any payment that does not account for credit for applicable discounts, and any payment where insufficient or lack of documentation prevents a reviewer from discerning whether a payment was proper.

Other Federal Awards with Similar Programmatic Activities

"The Recipient shall immediately notify the Project Officer and the Grants Officer in writing if, after receipt of this Award, other financial assistance is received to support or fund any portion of the scope of work incorporated into this Award. EDA will not pay for costs that are funded by other sources." EDA Standard Terms and Conditions for Construction Projects, February 12, 2016

CASE EXAMPLE



Duplication of Benefits

Allegations Included:

- Not-for-profit owner applied for funding for similar services
- Owner converted the duplicate funding for his personal and business use

Outcome:

- Owner pled guilty to mail fraud and money laundering
- Sentenced to 24 months in prison
- Ordered to pay \$480,901 in restitution

Double-click below for more information

United States Department of Justice	Offices of the United Starrs Atto
THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS OFFICE	Search
CENTRAL DISTRICT of ILLINOIS	SEARCH
HOME ABOUT NEWS MEET THE U.S. ATTORNEY	PROGRAMS LECC CAREERS
CONTACT US	
U.S. Attorneys > Central District of Illinois > News	
Department of Ja	ustice
U.S. Attorney's C	Office
Central District of	Illinois
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE	Friday, September 23, 20
Chicago Businessman Sentenced Grant Fraud S	to Two Years in Prison for Scheme
Springfield, II. – A Chicago businesaman has been ordered scheme that resulted in two state agencies awarding separ- portier entry in Spetember 2008. U.S. Dettrict Court Judge S Smith, 66, and ordered that Smith pay restlution of nearly aboved Smith to self-sport an directical by the fedoral Bure sentence. Smith waived indiciment and pield guilty in Mard court of income justurdering.	rate, but nearly identical, grants to his not-fo Sue E. Myerscough sentenced George E. \$500,000 to the state. Judge Myerscough eau of Prisons to begin serving his prison
In rendering today's sentence, Judge Myerncough noted th with a former director of the Illinois Department of Children amount of \$450,000 awarded by the agency on Sept. 2, 20 Sept. 8, 2000, the Illinois Board of Education awarded Smit	and Family Services related to a grant in the 008, under the Students at Risk Program. Or

Both grants were awarded to Deventient Behavioral Compenhensive Care, and for portel werify owned and operated by Sovie. In addition, Smith and addition, Smith the for port of efficience Behavioral Sorvices, Inc., Management Plenning Institute, Inc., and the Institute for Plantive Child and Prinkly Development. From 2005 Stready, 2011, 5mith, thready, and the Institute of Development efficient, necessed millions of obtains in Indiang from agencies of the state of Binon, including DCFS, ISDE, and the Binons Department of Human Services.

COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS



Matching Funds

- Generally, EDA's maximum allowable grant rate is 50% of the approved project cost; however, EDA will work with the recipient to determine the appropriate grant rate for the award based on statutory and regulatory distress factors.
- The remaining portion of the EDA approved scope of work must be borne by the recipient or provided to the recipient by a third party as a contribution for the purposes of and subject to the terms of the award.
- The applicant must document that the matching share will:
 - Be committed to the project for the period of performance
 - Be available as needed
 - Not be conditioned or encumbered in any way that may preclude its use consistent with the requirements of EDA investment assistance
- Applicants must submit, for each source of matching share, a commitment letter or equivalent document signed by an authorized representative of the organization providing the matching funds. Additional documentation may be requested by EDA in order to substantiate the availability of the matching funds.

CASE EXAMPLE



Matching Funds

Allegations Included:

- Small business owner made several false statements and misrepresentations to obtain federal grant funding
- Owner misrepresented that he obtained a \$100,000 investment from a third party in order to qualify for federal matching funds
- Owner converted grant funds to personal use

Outcome:

- Owner convicted at trial for wire fraud, mail fraud, falsification of records, and theft of government property
- Sentenced to 36 months in prison
- Ordered to pay \$105,726 in restitution
- Owner debarred for 5 years

Double	-click
below fo	r more
inform	ation

	in United States Department of Justice			Offwees	d the United Starrs Attorne		
				ORNEYS OFFICE			Search
	DIST	FRICT	MAI	RYLAND			SEARCH
	HOME	ABOUT	NEWS	U.S. ATTORNEY	PRIORITIES	PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT
	CONTAG	TUS					
	U.S. A	tiomeys » [District of N	Aaryland » News			
				Depart	ment of Justic	æ	
				U.S. /	attorney's Office		
				Distr	ict of Maryland		
	FORM		RELEAS	E			Friday, August 29, 2014
	Fraud					ther \$500,000 th	trough a National Science
	Sever fraud, r fraudul	mail fraud, lently obtain	today to talsification	District Judge Ellen I three years in prisor n of records, and the grants from the Nat	- Hollander sen followed by the eft of government tional Science F	tenced Manoj K ree years of sup nt property in co joundation (NSF	Curnar Jha, age 47, of ervised release for wire mnection with a scheme to () and kickbacks from \$105,726 in restitution.
	The se	ntence war	announo		Attorney for the	District of Mary	Aand Rod J. Rosenstein
c	grant fi	unds from t	he Nationa	al Science Foundation	on-s (NSF) Sm	all Business Tec	ently obtained \$200,000 in chnology Transfer (STTR) brough the same

grant tanks town the National Science Foundation-en (NSF) Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) program to fact al highway project, and attempted to obtain another 500,000 Proceeds the same program. In An another the fants to hip personal use. For example, Jha made payments on his mortigine and personal condition and autorated approximately \$11,000 in salary payments to his wife, who performed no NSF-related work.

Rm, a full time professor at Morgan State University, incorporated Amar Transportation Research and Consulting, Inc. (ATRC), and was its president and only director. Trial evidence showed that Jha submitted funding proposals on behalf of ATRC to the STTR. The stated purpose of Jhavs proposed

COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS



Mandatory Disclosure of Fraud

2 CFR § 200.113 - Mandatory disclosures

The non-Federal entity or applicant for a Federal award **must disclose**, in a timely manner, in writing to the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award.

Failure to disclose could result in the remedies detailed in 2 CFR \S 200.338 (slide 19 of this presentation).

CONSEQUENCES OF COMMITTING FRAUD



Criminal Prosecution

Lying to obtain a grant, or lying about the work performed may <u>violate</u> <u>several criminal laws</u>:

- 18 U.S.C. § 1001, False Statements (up to 5 years in prison, forfeiture and \$250K fine)
- I8 U.S.C. § 641, Theft of Federal Property (up to 10 years in prison, forfeiture and \$250K fine)
- 18 U.S.C. § 1343, Wire Fraud (up to 20 years in prison, forfeiture and \$250K fine)
- I8 U.S.C. § 666, Theft or bribery concerning programs receiving Federal funds (up to 10 years in prison, forfeiture and \$250K fine)

Fines for organizations may be as high as \$500K

Criminal forfeiture = full amount of the grant; personal assets can be seized to satisfy forfeiture or fine

CONSEQUENCES OF COMMITTING FRAUD (CONTINUED)



Civil Prosecution

In addition to or in lieu of criminal prosecution

Civil False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733

- Liability includes up to treble damages (3x actual damages) and a civil penalty of up to \$22,363 for each false claim
- False claims liability may include payments received when the government relied upon false information in the award proposal, in a certification of current cost or pricing data, in a request for payment, or in progress reports
- Although the statute requires knowledge that the claim was false, <u>knowledge</u> includes "<u>deliberate ignorance</u>" or "<u>reckless disregard of the truth</u>"
- Whistleblowers can receive up to 30% of the recovery amount for reporting fraud via *qui tam* provisions of the False Claims Act

CONSEQUENCES OF COMMITTING FRAUD (CONTINUED)



Administrative Remedies

- Government can terminate contracts/grants tainted by fraud
- Government can suspend/debar the entity, owner, and/or employees
 - Results in prohibition from receiving any federal grants or contracts, or working as a sub-grantee on federal grants or a sub-contractor on federal contracts
 - Debarment is typically for three years, but can be for a longer period
- Administrative remedies are in addition to or in lieu of criminal and civil liability

RECOMMENDATIONS



The government retains the right to examine the status of an EDA Assistance award at any time.

Status checks include:

- Site visits
- Requests for records including financial documents and timesheets

Good recordkeeping will help ensure successful status checks and eliminate potential issues.

RECOMMENDATIONS



Good Records: Key to Protecting Yourself

Documentation

- Timesheets for hours worked by ALL involved employees
- All financial receipts, invoices and statements for expenses related to the project

Updates on the project status, including successes/failures

- Keep regular records at the business-level so you have all facts on hand if needed/requested by the government
- Documentation to support performance metrics

IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER!



If you are unsure about any of the requirements relating to the award of an EDA Assistance grant/cooperative agreement:

- Contact the appropriate EDA POC and provide all relevant facts
- Request written guidance from the EDA POC, and
- Follow it!

IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER!



RECORD RETENTION AND ACCESS

§ 200.333 - Retention requirements for records.

Financial records, supporting documents, statistical records, and all other non-Federal entity records pertinent to a Federal award must be retained for a period of three years from the date of submission of the final expenditure report or, for Federal awards that are renewed quarterly or annually, from the date of the submission of the quarterly or annual financial report, respectively, as reported to the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity in the case of a subrecipient. Federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities must not impose any other record retention requirements upon non-Federal entities. The only exceptions are the following:

(a) If any litigation, claim, or audit is started before the expiration of the 3-year period, the records must be retained until all litigation, claims, or audit findings involving the records have been resolved and final action taken.

(b) When the non-Federal entity is notified in writing by the Federal awarding agency, cognizant agency for audit, oversight agency for audit, cognizant agency for indirect costs, or pass-through entity to extend the retention period.

(c) Records for real property and equipment acquired with Federal funds must be retained for 3 years after final disposition.

(d) When records are transferred to or maintained by the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity, the 3-year retention requirement is not applicable to the non-Federal entity.

(e) Records for program income transactions after the period of performance. In some cases recipients must report program income after the period of performance. Where there is such a requirement, the retention period for the records pertaining to the earning of the program income starts from the end of the non-Federal entity's fiscal year in which the program income is earned.

(f) Indirect cost rate proposals and cost allocations plans. This paragraph applies to the following types of documents and their supporting records: indirect cost rate computations or proposals, cost allocation plans, and any similar accounting computations of the rate at which a particular group of costs is chargeable (such as computer usage chargeback rates or composite fringe benefit rates).

(1) If submitted for negotiation. If the proposal, plan, or other computation is required to be submitted to the Federal Government (or to the pass-through entity) to form the basis for negotiation of the rate, then the 3-year retention period for its supporting records starts from the date of such submission.

(2) If not submitted for negotiation. If the proposal, plan, or other computation is not required to be submitted to the Federal Government (or to the pass-through entity) for negotiation purposes, then the 3-year retention period for the proposal, plan, or computation and its supporting records starts from the end of the fiscal year (or other accounting period) covered by the proposal, plan, or other computation.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES



EDA Disaster Recovery website https://www.eda.gov/programs/disaster-recovery/

13 CFR Chapter III – Economic Development Administration (Parts 300-315)

https://gov.ecfr.io/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=8fbf6f5b896d79be9a11dd18cee58dea&mc=true&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title13/13chapterIII.tpl

2 CFR Part 200 – Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards

https://gov.ecfr.io/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=be9b8216bc70dcf927fd18af1b339149&mc=true&node=pt2.1.200&rgn=div5

Grant Fraud & Scams – Grants.gov

https://www.grants.gov/learn-grants/grant-fraud.html

Department of Commerce – Grants and Cooperative Agreement Manual

http://www.osec.doc.gov/oam/grants_management/policy/documents/Grants%20Manual%20-%2024%20October%202016.pdf

REPORT FRAUD, WASTE, AND ABUSE



U.S. Department of Commerce Office of Inspector General

Office of Investigations

OIG HOTLINE Phone: (800) 424-5197

Online Complaint Form:

https://www.oig.doc.gov/Pages/Online-Hotline-Complaint-Form.aspx



For more information please visit our website <u>www.oig.doc.gov</u>

REPORT FRAUD, WASTE, AND ABUSE



National Center for Disaster Fraud Hotline

Phone: 866-720-5721 Fax: 225-334-4707 Email: disaster@leo.gov



Anonymous & Confidential

For more information please visit <u>https://www.fema.gov/disaster-fraud</u>



You must successfully complete this training and attach the completion certificate (next slide) to your signed CD-450.

Failure to comply with this requirement may result in a finding of non-compliance with the terms and conditions of your award.

CERTIFICATE OF TRAINING COMPLETION



The undersigned has fully and completely reviewed this training on behalf of the proposer/awardee, understands the information presented in this training, and has the authority to make this certification as the awardee. The undersigned understands providing false or misleading information during any part of the proposal, award, or performance phase of an EDA assistance award may result in criminal, civil or administrative sanctions, including but not limited to: fines, restitution, and/or imprisonment under 18 U.S.C. § 1001; treble damages and civil penalties under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729 et seq.; civil recovery of award funds; suspension and/or debarment from all federal procurement and non-procurement transactions, FAR Part 9.4 or 2 CFR Part 180; and other administrative remedies including termination of active EDA assistance awards.

Signature	Date
	Return signed with executed Award Documents,
Name	a separate Certification is required for each
	Authorized Signer on the Award.
	This Certification must be signed by the same
Entity Name	Individual(s) executing the Award (CD-450).

Position Title

EDA Award Number 07 79 07557

Certificate One

CERTIFICATE OF TRAINING COMPLETION



The undersigned has fully and completely reviewed this training on behalf of the proposer/awardee, understands the information presented in this training, and has the authority to make this certification as the awardee. The undersigned understands providing false or misleading information during any part of the proposal, award, or performance phase of an EDA assistance award may result in criminal, civil or administrative sanctions, including but not limited to: fines, restitution, and/or imprisonment under 18 U.S.C. § 1001; treble damages and civil penalties under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729 et seq.; civil recovery of award funds; suspension and/or debarment from all federal procurement and non-procurement transactions, FAR Part 9.4 or 2 CFR Part 180; and other administrative remedies including termination of active EDA assistance awards.

Signature	Date
	Return signed with executed Award Documents,
Name	a separate Certification is required for each
	Authorized Signer on the Award.
	This Certification must be signed by the same
Entity Name	Individual(s) executing the Award (CD-450).

Position Title

EDA Award Number 07 79 07557

Certificate Two

CERTIFICATE OF TRAINING COMPLETION



The undersigned has fully and completely reviewed this training on behalf of the proposer/awardee, understands the information presented in this training, and has the authority to make this certification as the awardee. The undersigned understands providing false or misleading information during any part of the proposal, award, or performance phase of an EDA assistance award may result in criminal, civil or administrative sanctions, including but not limited to: fines, restitution, and/or imprisonment under 18 U.S.C. § 1001; treble damages and civil penalties under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729 et seq.; civil recovery of award funds; suspension and/or debarment from all federal procurement and non-procurement transactions, FAR Part 9.4 or 2 CFR Part 180; and other administrative remedies including termination of active EDA assistance awards.

Signature	Date
	Return signed with executed Award Documents,
Name	a separate Certification is required for each
	Authorized Signer on the Award.
	This Certification must be signed by the same
Entity Name	Individual(s) executing the Award (CD-450).

Position Title

EDA Award Number 07 79 07557

Certificate Three